

NUMBER (Series of 1925)
223915

PATENT No. 1655114

1927

DATED JAN 3 1928

DIV. 22 #07-094-07135

EX'R'S BOOK) 11-68
4

Name NIKOLA TESLA

of NEW YORK

State of NEW YORK

Invention

APPARATUS FOR AERIAL TRANSPORTATION

ORIGINAL

RENEWED

APPLICATION FILED COMPLETE OCT 4, 1927

Petition, Specification,

Oath, First Fee \$20,

2 sheets Drawings,

OCT 4, 1927

Certificate of Correction.

March 20, 1928.

Examined and passed for Issue Nov 7, 1927

Reexam'd and passed for Issue, 192

J. H. Colwell Exr. Div. 22

Exr. Div.

NOV 12 1927
Notice of Allowance, 192

Notice of Allowance, 192

By Commissioner.

By Commissioner.

Final Fee \$20 Dec 7, 1927

Final Fee, 192

Attorney JOHN P. TARBOX C/O EDWARD G. BUDD MFG. COMPANY HUNTING PARK AVE.,
AND 25TH STREET PHILADELPHIA PA

Associate Attorney

No. of Claims Allowed 6 Print Claims 1 in O. G. Class 244-14

Title as Allowed Apparatus for Aerial Transportation



421

1927

CONTENTS:

1. Application _____ papers.	26. _____
2. <i>Amatt A Oct 31, 1927</i>	27. <i>Amatt</i>
3. <i>Request for Capt. Feb. 9/28</i>	28. _____
4. <i>Report by Dir. B. Feb. 20/28</i>	29. _____
5. <i>Attorney's letter</i>	30. _____
6. <i>Commissioner's letter</i>	31. _____
7. <i>Pat ret'd with Gov. 6. Mar. 20/28</i>	32. _____
8. _____	33. _____
9. _____	34. _____
10. _____	35. _____
11. _____	36. _____
12. _____	37. _____
13. _____	38. _____
14. _____	39. _____
15. _____	40. _____
16. _____	41. _____
17. _____	42. _____
18. _____	43. _____
19. _____	44. _____
20. _____	45. _____
21. _____	46. _____
22. _____	47. _____
23. _____	48. _____
24. _____	49. _____
25. _____	50. _____

11-8826

Petition

9433

223915

TO THE COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS:

Your petitioner NIKOLA TESLA
 citizen of the United States, residing at New York in the
 County of New York, State of New York, whose post office address is
c/o Pennsylvania Hotel, New York City, N. Y.

114, prays that Letters Patent may be granted to him for
 Improvements in APPARATUS FOR AERIAL TRANSPORTATION

as set forth in the annexed specification.

And he hereby appoints JOHN P. TARBOX whose post office
 address is care of EDWARD G. BUDD MFG. COMPANY, HUNTING PARK AVENUE AND 25TH STREET, PHILA-
 DELPHIA, PENNSYLVANIA, whose register number is 9023.

his attorney,
 with full power of substitution and revocation, to prosecute this application, to make alterations and
 amendments therein, to sign the drawings, to receive the Patent, and to transact all business in the
 Patent Office connected therewith.

☒ Inventor's full name:

Nikola Tesla

Specification

TO ALL WHOM IT MAY CONCERN:

BE IT KNOWN That I, NIKOLA TESLA,
 citizen of the United States, residing at
New York City in the County of New York
 and State of New York

have invented certain new and useful Improvements in
APPARATUS FOR AERIAL TRANSPORTATION

of which the following is a specification:

12

Cordell 9 This application is a continuation in part of my application Serial No. 499,518, filed September 9, 1921, and is made pursuant to the Rules of the patent office, its purpose being to describe and claim apparatus which I have invented for carrying into practice the method therein disclosed.

The invention consists of a newtype of flying machine, designated "helicopter-plane", which may be raised and lowered vertically and driven horizontally by the same propelling devices and comprises: A prime mover of improved design and an airscrew, both especially adapted for the purpose, means for tilting the machine in the air, arrangements for controlling its operation in any position, a novel landing gear and other constructive details, all of which will be hereinafter fully described.

The utility of the aeroplane as a means of transport is materially lessened and its commercial introduction greatly hampered owing to the inherent inability of the mechanism to readily rise and alight, which is an unavoidable consequence of the fact that the required lifting force can only be produced by a more or less rapid translatory movement of the planes or foils. This indispensable high velocity, imperilling life and property, makes it necessary to equip the machine with special appliances and provide suitable facilities at the terminals of the route, all of which entail numerous drawbacks and difficulties of a serious nature.

More recently, professional attention has been turned

to the helicopter which is devoid of planes as distinct organs of support and, presumably, enables both vertical and horizontal propulsion to be satisfactorily accomplished through the instrumentality of the propeller alone.

The prospects of such a flying machine appear at first attractive, primarily because it makes possible the carrying of great weight with a relatively small expenditure of energy. This follows directly from the fundamental laws of fluid propulsion, laid down by W. T. M. Rankine more than fifty years ago, in conformity with which the thrust is equal to the integral sum of the products of the masses and velocities of the projected air particles; symbolically expressed,

$$T = \sum (mv)$$

On the other hand, the kinetic energy of the air set in motion is

$$E = \sum \left(\frac{1}{2} mv^2 \right)$$

From these equations it is evident that a great thrust can be obtained with a comparatively small amount of power simply by increasing the aggregate mass of the particles and reducing their velocities. But the seemingly great gain thus secured is of small value in aviation for the reason that a high speed of travel is generally an essential requirement which cannot be fulfilled except by propelling the air at high velocity, and that obviously implies a relatively small thrust.

Another quality commonly attributed to the helicopter is great stability, this being apparently a logical inference judging from the location of the centers of gravity and pressure. It will be found, though, that contrary to this prevailing opinion the device, while moving in any di-

reaction other than up or down, ^{has} ~~is~~ is an equilibrium easily disturbed and has, moreover, a pronounced tendency to oscillate.

In explanation of these and other peculiarities, assume the helicopter poised in still air at a certain height, the axial thrust T just equalling the weight, and let the axis of the propeller be inclined to form an angle α with the horizontal. The change to the new position will have a two-fold effect: the vertical thrust will be diminished to

$$T_v = T \sin \alpha$$

and at the same time there will be produced a horizontal thrust

$$T_h = T \cos \alpha$$

Under the action of the unbalanced force of gravity, the machine will now fall along a curve to a level below and if the inclination of the propeller as well as its speed of rotation remain unaltered during the descent, the forces T , T_v and T_h will continuously increase in proportion to the density of the air until the vertical component T_v of the axial thrust T becomes equal to the gravitational attraction. The extent of the drop will be governed by the inclination of the propeller axis and for a given angle it will be, theoretically, the same no matter at what altitude the events take place. To get an idea of its magnitude suppose the elevations of the upper and lower strata measured from sea level be h_1 and h_2 , respectively, d_1 and d_2 the corresponding air densities and $H = 26,700$ feet the height of the "uniform atmosphere," then as a consequence of Boyle's Law the relation will exist

$$h_1 - h_2 = H \log_e \frac{d_2}{d_1}$$

It is obvious that

$$\frac{T}{T_v} = \frac{T}{T \sin \alpha} = \frac{1}{\sin \alpha} \text{ must be equal to } \frac{d_2}{d_1} \text{ in order that}$$

in order that
 the vertical component of the axial thrust in the lower

stratum should just support the weight. Hence

$$H_1 - h_2 = H \log_e \frac{1}{\sin \alpha}$$

Taking, in a special case, the angle $\alpha = 60$ degrees, then

$$\frac{1}{\sin \alpha} = \frac{1}{0.866} = 1.1547, \text{ and}$$

$$h_1 - h_2 = 26,700 \times \log_e 1.1547 = 3,840 \text{ feet.}$$

In reality the drop will be much greater for the machine, upon reaching the lower layer with a high velocity relative to the medium, will be urged further down along the curved path and the kinetic energy, in the vertical sense, possessed by the moving mass must be annihilated before the fall is arrested in a still denser air stratum. At this point the upward thrust will be far in excess of the opposed pull of the weight and the apparatus will rise with first increasing and then diminishing speed to a height which may approximate the original. From there it will again fall and so on, these operations being repeated during the forward flight, the up and down excursions from the main horizontal line gradually diminishing in magnitude. After a lapse of time, determined by numerous influences, these deviations should become insignificant and the path described nearly rectilinear. But this is next to impossible as can be readily shown by pointing out another curious feature of the helicopter.

In the foregoing the axis of the propeller was supposed to move always parallel to itself, which result might be accomplished by the use of an adjustable aileron. In this connection it may be pointed out, however, that such a device will not act in the manner of a rudder, coming into full play at intervals only and performing its functions economically, but will steadily absorb energy, this occasion-

ing a considerable waste of motive power and adding another to the many disadvantages of the helicopter.

Let now the machine be possessed of a certain degree of freedom, as will be the case normally, and observe in the first place that the blades of the propeller themselves constitute planes developing a reaction thrust, the pressure on the lower leading blade being greater than that exerted on the higher one owing to the compression of the air by the body of the machine and increased density in that region. This thrust, tending to diminish the angle α , will vary during one revolution, being maximum in a position when the line of symmetry of the two propeller blades and that of flight are in the same vertical plane and minimum when the former is at right angles to it. Nevertheless, if the horizontal speed is great, it may be considerable and sufficient to quickly overcome the inertia and gyroscopic resistances all the more readily as the upper blade operates to the same effect. Moreover, this intermittent action partakes of the regenerative quality, the force increasing as the angle diminishes up to a maximum for $\alpha = 45$ degrees, and may also give rise to disturbing resonant vibrations in the structure. As its axis is tilted more and more, the vertical sustaining effort of the propeller correspondingly diminishes and the machine will fall with a rapidly increasing velocity, which may finally exceed the horizontal, when the reaction of the blades is directed upward so as to increase the angle α and thereby cause the machine to soar higher. Thus periodic oscillations, accompanied by ascents and descents, will be set up which may well be magnified to an extent such as to bring about a complete overturn and plunge to earth.

It is held by some experts that the helicopter, because of its smaller body resistance, would be capable of a

higher speed than the aeroplane. This is an erroneous conclusion, contrary to the laws of propulsion. It must be borne in mind that in the former type, the motive power being the same, a greater mass of air must be set in motion with a velocity smaller than in the latter, consequently it must be inferior in speed. But even if the air were propelled in the direction of the axis of the screw with the same speed V in both of them, while the aeroplane approximates the same, the helicopter can never exceed the horizontal component $V \cos \alpha$ which, under the theoretically most economical conditions of operation, would only be $0.7V$, and this would be true no matter how much its resistance is reduced.

Another very serious defect of this kind of flying machine, from the practical point of view, is found in its inability of supporting itself in the air in case of failure of the motor, the projected area of the propeller blades being inadequate for reducing the speed of the fall sufficiently to avoid disaster, and this is an almost fatal impediment to its commercial use.

From the preceding facts, which are ignored in the technical publications on the subject, it will be clear that the successful solution of the problem is in a different direction.

In an application of even date, referred to above, I have disclosed an invention which meets the present necessity in a simple manner and, briefly stated, consists in a novel method of transporting bodies through the air according to which the machine is raised and lowered solely by the propeller and sustained in lateral flight by planes.

My present application is based on new and useful features and combinations of apparatus which I have devised for carrying this method into practice.

Full knowledge of these improvements will be readily gained by reference to the accompanying drawings in which

Fig. 1 illustrates the machine in the starting or landing position and

Fig. 2 in horizontal flight;

Fig. 3 is a plan view of the same with the upper plane partly broken away and

Fig. 4 and Fig. 5 sectional views of constructive details.

The structure is composed of two planes or foils 1,1 rigidly joined. Their length and distance apart may be such as to form a near-square for the sake of smallness and compactness. With the same object the tail is omitted or, if used, it is retractable. In order to raise the machine vertically a very light and powerful prime mover is necessary and as particularly suited for the purpose, I employ, preferably, a turbine described in my U.S. patent 1,061,206 of May 6, 1913, which not only fulfills these requirements but lends itself especially to operation at very high temperatures. Two such turbines, designated 2,2, together with other parts and accessories of the powerplant, are bolted to the frame, being placed with due regard to the centers of gravity and pressure. The usual controlling means are provided and, in addition to these, any of the known stabilizing devices may be embodied in the machine. At rest the planes are vertical, or nearly so, and likewise the shaft driving the propeller 3, which is of a strength, size and pitch such as will enable it to lift the entire weight vertically and withstand safely the stresses. Power is transmitted to the shaft from the turbines through gearing which may be of the single reduction type as illustrated,

the turbines rotating in the same direction and neutralizing the gyroscopic moment of the screw. If, instead of one, two propellers are used, either coaxially or otherwise disposed, the motors should revolve in opposite directions. The seats 4, 4, 4 for the operator and passengers are suspended on trunnions 5, 5 on which they can turn through an angle of about 90 degrees, springs and cushions (not shown) being employed to insure and limit their motion through this angle. The ordinary devices for lateral and directional control 6, 6 7, 7 and 8, 8 are provided with mechanical connections enabling the aviator to actuate them by hand or foot from his seat in any position.

Stated in a few words, the operation is as follows: At the start, sufficient power is turned on by suitable means, also within reach, and the machine rises vertically in the air to the desired height when it is gradually tilted through manipulation of the elevator devices and then proceeds more and more like an aeroplane, the sustaining force of the propeller being replaced by vertical reaction of the foils as the angle of inclination diminishes and horizontal velocity increases. In descending, the forward speed is reduced and the machine righted again, acting as a helicopter with the propeller supporting all the load. The turbine used is of great lightness and activity exceptionally qualified to perform such work for which the present aviation motors are unsuited. It is capable of carrying an extraordinarily great overload and running at excessive speed, and during the starting, landing and other relatively short operations, not only can the necessary power be easily developed, but this can be accomplished without incurring a serious loss of efficiency. Owing to its extreme simplicity, the motive apparatus is very reliable, but should the power give out accidentally, landing can still be effected by volplaning.

64
For this purpose, in addition to wheels 9, 9 and 10, 10, wheels 11, 11 are employed, the latter being mounted on the forward end under the lower plane and so that when the machine rests on level ground, the propeller shaft will have the desired inclination which is deemed best for rising in the manner of an aeroplane. Such a "helicopter-plane," constructed and operated as described, unites the advantages of both types and seems to meet well the requirements of a small, compact, very speedy and safe craft for commercial use.

The abnormal power requirements are met by supplying more of the working fluid to the motors and driving them faster, or running them at about the same speed and increasing the thrust by adjustment of the pitch of the propeller. On account of simplicity and much greater range it is preferable to resort to the first method, in which case the screw should be designed to work most economically in horizontal flight, as its efficiency in the starting and landing operations is of comparatively small importance. Instead of a single large propeller, as described, a number of small ones can be used, when the turbine units may be connected advantageously in stages and the gearing dispensed with. The biplane seems to be particularly well suited for the chief purpose contemplated, but the invention is equally well applicable to monoplanes and other types.

In order to secure the best results I have found it indispensable to depart, in some respects, from the usual design of my turbines and embody in them certain constructive features and means for varying the power developed from the minimum necessary in horizontal flight to an amount exceeding by far their rated performance, as may be required in the

operations of ascent and descent, or spurts of speed, or in combatting the fury of the elements. Furthermore, I so proportion and coordinate the fluid pressure generator supplying the primary energy, the propelling and the controlling means, that for any attitude or working condition of the machine the requisite thrust may be almost instantly produced and accurately adjusted.

The understanding of these improvements will be facilitated by reference to Fig. 4 and Fig. 5. In the first named the turbines are intended to operate as rotary engines, expanding the gases in the rotor as well as the inlet nozzle or port 12, the depth of which can be varied by shifting a block 13, fitting freely in a milled channel of the casing, through the medium of lever 14 controlled by the aviator. The orifice for the passage of the elastic fluid is straight or slightly converging, so that a much smaller velocity is obtained than with an expanding nozzle, this enabling the best relation between the peripheral speed of the rotor and that of the fluid to be readily attained. The performance of such an engine at constant pressure of supply is, within wide limits, proportionate to the quantity of the working medium passed through the inlet port and it is practicable to carry, for indefinite intervals of time, an exceedingly great overload, by which I mean up to three or even four times the normal. Exceptional strength and ruggedness of the motors being imperative in view of centrifugal stresses and critical speed, their weight need not be appreciably increased as would be the case in other forms of prime movers in which, as a rule, the weight is in nearly direct proportion to the power developed. To accomplish my purpose I ^{further} provide commensurately larger inlet and outlet openings. No serious disadvantage is

43 8
9

thereby incurred because windage and other losses are virtually absent and most of the rotary effort is due to the peripheral parts of the discs. As shown in the figure, block 13 is in the position corresponding to minimum effort, the section of the inlet channel being about one-fifth of the whole which is obtained when the block is pulled in its extreme position indicated by the dotted line. Owing to the increase of the coefficient of contraction and counterpressure attendant the enlargement of the inlet, the same should be made of ample section.

Figure 5 shows a different means for attaining the same purpose. In this case the motors operate like true turbines, the working fluid being fully expanded, or nearly so, through divergent exchangeable nozzles as 15, having a throat of sufficient section for the passage of fluid required during maximum performance. The exhaust opening is also correspondingly enlarged, though not necessarily to the extent indicated in Figure 4. The power is varied by means of a throttle valve 16, as used in automobiles, located in the conduit supplying the air and carbureted fuel to the fluid pressure generator and mechanically connected to the controlling lever 14. This apparatus is of a capacity adequate to the maximum demand. In Figure 3 this apparatus is diagrammatically indicated by 17, and may be any one of a number of well-known types, producing pressure by internal combustion of a suitable fuel or by external firing of a steam boiler. In the latter case, with constant pressure, the arrangement shown in Figure 4 is best to employ, while the plan illustrated in Figure 5 can be used to advantage when both pressure and quantity of fluid are varied.

insert
a!

In operation for vertical ascent, the machine being in the attitude of Figure 1, the aviator will push

forward lever 14 and supply sufficient primary energy to the motors for lifting the machine with the desired velocity. When the objective elevation is reached rudders 7,7 are manipulated to incline the machine at a certain angle, the aviator simultaneously applying more pressure to the lever and augmenting the fluid supply to the motors, thereby increasing the propeller thrust in the vertical direction so as to prevent the machine from descending. He continues these operations always coordinating the thrust developed with the changes in attitude of the machine until a certain angle of inclination is attained and the machine is supported chiefly by reaction of the planes. At this stage he begins to reduce the pressure on the lever and supply of working fluid simultaneously decreasing the angle of inclination thus finally effecting, by insensible steps, horizontal flight.

It should be understood that descent and alighting, as well as rising in the manner of a true aeroplane may be accomplished as usual. In such case the motors will be operated at their normal rated capacity. However, when excessive speed becomes necessary, the effort of the motors may be instantly and greatly augmented by merely manipulating block 13 or valve 16 as described.

Whenever it is desired to descend vertically, the aviator will reverse the operations as applying to substantial vertical ascent, which is to say, bring the machine gradually into starting attitude, at the same time increasing the supply of fluid to the motors and the vertical component of the propeller thrust, while reducing the horizontal. Finally, he will steadily reduce the fluid supply and the vertical thrust so as to descend to the landing place at a very low, safe velocity.

In the preceding I have described a flying machine characterized by a number of novel constructive and operative features and well suited for meeting a pressing necessity in the present state of the art. The chief improvements consist in first, adapting my turbine motor for excessive overload without appreciable increase of its weight, second, providing large variable inlet ports and corresponding exhaust openings, with the object of meeting the abnormal power requirements in the starting, landing and other short operations, and still preserving a high efficiency in horizontal flight; third, combining with the turbine a fluid pressure generator of adequate capacity with means for control and, fourth, embody-
these and ing other features in a suitable structure improved in various details. These may be greatly varied and I wish it to be understood that I do not limit myself to the precise arrangements illustrated and described.

Per A

9 I CLAIM AS MY INVENTION:

1- In a heavier-than-air flying machine the combination of a fluid pressure generator having a capacity several times greater than necessary for normal requirements in horizontal flight, a prime mover capable of carrying adequate overload and means for controlling the fluid supply to the prime mover and correspondingly varying its performance, as set forth.

2- In a flying machine adapted for vertical and horizontal propulsion and change from one to the other attitude, the combination of a fluid pressure generator having a capacity several times greater than normally required in horizontal flight, a motor capable of carrying adequate overload and means for controlling the fluid supply to the motor and correspondingly varying its performance, as described.

Insert
slight means to incline machine in a vertical plane
combined with the first mentioned means for changing from one attitude to another
For vertical ascent

3- A heavier-than-air flying machine adapted for substantially vertical and horizontal propulsion and change from one to the other attitude comprising, a system producing thrust approximately parallel to the principal axis of the machine, a prime mover capable of carrying an exceedingly great overload, and means for controlling the performance of the motor, as described.

4- In a flying machine adapted for vertical and horizontal propulsion and change from one to the other attitude, the combination of a system producing thrust approximately parallel to the principal axis of the machine, a motor capable of carrying an exceedingly great overload, energy supplying apparatus of corresponding capacity and means for controlling the same as set forth.

14

5- The combination in a flying machine with means for effecting vertical rise and descent and forward travel, comprising planes, a propeller, and means for controlling the inclination of its axis, of a prime mover consisting of a rotor of plane spaced discs with central openings, and an enclosing casing with inlet and outlet orifices of a section several times greater than required for normal performance respectively at the periphery and center of the same, as and for the purpose described.

6- A flying machine comprising, means for propulsion and support, apparatus for lateral and vertical control, means for tilting it in the air, a prime mover consisting of a rotor of plane spaced discs with central openings and an enclosing casing with inlet and outlet ports of a section several times greater than normally required respectively at the periphery and center of the same, and means for controlling the performance of the prime mover, as set forth.

7- 5. In a flying machine of the kind described in combination with means for vertical and lateral control of two wheel bases at right angles to one another as set forth.

8- 6. In a flying machine of the kind described in combination with means for vertical and lateral control of two wheel bases at right angles to one another and having one or more wheels common to both.

sig

In testimony whereof, I, hereunto affix my signature.

Inventor's full name here:

Nikola Tesla

Oath

STATE OF PENNSYLVANIA

ss:

COUNTY OF PHILADELPHIA

I, NIKOLA TESLA,

the above-named petitioner, being duly sworn, depose and say that

he is a, and resident of City, County and State of New York.

that he verily believes himself to be the original, first, and sole inventor of the Improvement in

APPARATUS FOR AERIAL TRANSPORTATION

described and claimed in the annexed specification; that he do esnot know and do esnot believe that the same was ever known or used before his invention or discovery thereof; or patented or described in any printed publication in any country before his invention or discovery thereof, or more than two years prior to this application; or patented in any country foreign to the United States on an application filed more than twelve months before this application; or in public use or on sale in the United States for more than two years prior to this application; and that no application for patent on said improvement has been filed by him or his representatives or assigns in any country foreign to the United States.

Inventor's full name:

Nikola Tesla

Sworn to and subscribed before me, this 30th day of September, 1927.

[SEAL]

Ellw P. Mosh

(Notary Public)

My commission expires

Jan 20 - 1930

16




FIG. 1.




FIG. 2.

INVENTOR.
NIKOLA TESLA.

BY *John P. Jacoby*
ATTORNEY.






FIG. 5




FIG. 4.




FIG. 3.

INVENTOR
NIKOLA TESLA.

BY

John R. Taylor
ATTORNEY.





A
#2
DIV. XXII 9450

NOV 2 1927

U. S. PATENT OFFICE

Sub
IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT OFFICE

Re Application of
NIKOLA TESLA
APPARATUS for AERIAL TRANSPORTATION
Serial No. 223,915
Filed - October 4, 1927

Hon. Commissioner of Patents,
Washington, D. C.

Sir:-

Please enter the following amendment in the above
entitled case.

copied
a'
In the specification, page 11, line 21 from the
top, after the words "maximum demand" insert -- by which I
do not mean that it is necessarily much larger than required
for normal performances, but is merely designed to supply the
working fluid or, broadly stated, energy -- whenever desired,
at a rate greatly exceeding the normal.

Last page of the specification, line 13 from the
top, before the word "other" insert -- these and --.

Erase claims 1 to 6 and substitute the following
without prejudice.

a2
1. 1-
In an aeroplane adapted for vertical and
horizontal propulsion and change from one to the other
attitude, the combination of means for tilting the
machine in the air, a fluid pressure generator of a
capacity several times greater than normally required

in horizontal flight, a motor capable of carrying overloads adequate for support in all attitudes, and means for controlling the supply of the fluid to the motor in accordance with the inclination of the machine.

2. ~~10~~

In an aeroplane adapted for vertical and horizontal propulsion and change from one to the other attitude, the combination with means for tilting the machine in the air and a system producing thrust approximately parallel to the principal axis of the same and including a fluid pressure generator having a capacity several times greater than normally required in horizontal flight, a motor capable of carrying over-loads adequate for support in all attitudes, and means for controlling the supply of the fluid to the motor in accordance with the inclination of the machine.

3. ~~11~~

In an aeroplane adapted for vertical and horizontal propulsion and change from one to the other attitude, the combination of means for tilting the machine in the air, a fluid pressure generator capable of supplying fluid at a rate several times greater than required for horizontal flight, a prime mover consisting of a rotor of plane spaced discs with central openings and an enclosing casing with inlet and outlet orifices of a section much greater than required for normal performances respectively at the periphery and center of the same, and means for controlling the supply of the fluid to the motor in accordance with the inclination of the machine.

20

Set → ~~H. 12-~~

In an aeroplane adapted for vertical and horizontal propulsion and change from one to the other attitude, the combination of means for tilting the machine in the air, a thrust producing system having its principal energy producing elements designed for normal load in horizontal flight but capable of carrying over-loads adequate for support of the aeroplane in all attitudes, and means for controlling the energy produced in said system in accordance with the inclination of the machine.

cl 5

Serial No. 223,915

Sheet 4

REMARKS

This amendment follows an oral interview between the Examiner and applicant's attorney in which was discussed the conformance of the application to the indications of the decisions of the Board of ~~Examiners~~-in-Chief in the appeals of the parent application of this one and of the companion method case, Serial No. 499,519, which latter has been allowed. It was recognized that the parent application of this one was deficient in that it did not disclose the complete invention, to wit, the means by which the motor was adapted to the combination in which it was claimed, the means by which the motor was made to partake of the tremendous overload required of it, but that the instant application discloses the complete invention. The discussion of the claims indicated the subject matter to be entirely novel, but another and more definite expression of the subject matter to be preferable in the eyes of the Examiner, and in drawing the above claims his suggestions have been closely followed. The language has been condensed in the joint interest of brevity and clarity. In lieu of the suggested expression of the Examiner "means for coordinating the thrust with the means for tilting the machine," the perhaps more exact expression "means for controlling the supply of fluid to the motor in accordance with the inclination of the machine", has been used.

Cancellations are therefore to be made entirely without prejudice. Claims 1, 4 and 6 are removed to avoid multiplicity. New claims 9, 10 and 11 respectively retain in the new form the subject matter of claims 2, 3 and 5. Claim 12 defines the power plant particularly as a thrust producing system characterized essentially in the same manner as in the preceding claims.


22

Serial No. 223,915

Sheet 5

It is hoped that the application can be passed to issue at this time so that this, the apparatus case, may be issued on the same day as the recently allowed method case, which latter case applicant wishes to issue as soon as possible.

Respectfully submitted,


Attorney for Applicant

Philadelphia, Pa.
October 29, 1927

JPT*C.



Pat No 1,655,114 ³

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT OFFICE
ISSUE DIVISION DIV. XXII

In re Application of

NIKOLA TESLA

APPARATUS for AERIAL TRANSPORTATION

Serial No. 223,915

Filed - Oct. 4, 1927

Patent No. 1,655,114

FEB 10 1928

U. S. PATENT OFFICE

FEB 10 1928

U. S. PATENT OFFICE

Div. 22

Room 249

Hon. Commissioner of Patents,

Washington, D. C.

Sir:-

The Commissioner's attention is respectfully called to an error which occurred in the printing of applicant's patent No. 1,655,114, patented January 3, 1928.

Page 1 of the patent, after line 57, the formula which is incorrectly stated should read as follows:

$$E = \sum \left(\frac{1}{2} mv^2 \right) \quad p \ell$$

This error is no fault of applicant's as the Honorable Commissioner will see by referring to page 2, line 17, of applicant's specification.

Respectfully submitted,

J. M. P. Lantry
Attorney for Applicant

Philadelphia, Pa.
February 7, 1928
DMC

APPROVED

24
Mar 10 1928

Mar E Robertson
COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS

Cert of Cor.
T.A.H.

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

UNITED STATES PATENT OFFICE

WASHINGTON

February 20, 1928.

In the matter of
Patent No. 1,655,114,
Nikola Tesla,
January 3, 1928,
John P. Tarbox, attorney.

REPORT OF THE CHIEF OF THE ISSUE AND GAZETTE DIVISION.

Attorney writes calling the attention of the Office to the following error:

Page 1, line 57, the

formula should read

as follows:

$$E = \left(\frac{1}{2} mv^2 \right)$$

Examination of the record discloses that the error is chargeable to the Printer. The Patent was not returned.

Respectfully,

John H. Lane
Chief of the Issue Division.

To Commissioner of Patents.

25 Onw

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

UNITED STATES PATENT OFFICE

February 23, 1928.

WASHINGTON

As the letter l and numeral 1 are each indicated by the same character on the typewriter and as the l was not spaced from mv^2 in the equation (lmv^2) , page 2, it was a natural inference by the printer 2 that (lmv^2) was intended instead of $(\frac{1}{2}mv^2)$.

In the matter of
Patent No. 1,651,114
Nikola Tesla,
January 7, 1928,
John P. Tarbox, attorney.

CHIEF OF THE ISSUE AND GAZETTE DIVISION.

John P. Tarbox
Examiner.

the following error:
The following error was called to the attention of the Office by

Page 1, line 27, the

formula should read

as follows:

$$E = \frac{1}{2}mv^2$$

in chargeable to the printer. The Patent was not returned.
Examination of the record discloses that the error

Respectfully,

John P. Tarbox
Chief of the Issue Division.

To Commissioner of Patents.

John P. Tarbox

Garbox, J. P. B

U. S. PATENT OFFICE,
NUMBER 48842 RECEIVED
MAR 8 1928
CHIEF CLERK

J.B.*C.
March 7, 1928.
Philadelphia, Pa.

John D. Garbox
Attorney for Applicant

Respectfully submitted,


correction may be issued.
above mentioned Letters Patent so that a certificate of
February 28th, applicant's attorney is returning herewith the
In accordance with the Office letter of

Sir:-
Attention of Mr. T. A. Hoefeltzer, Solicitor.
Washington, D. C.
Hon. Commissioner of Patents,

Abstracts for Aerial Transportation
Patent No. 1,622,114
IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT OFFICE

2/25/28

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
UNITED STATES PATENT OFFICE
WASHINGTON



February 25, 1928.

In re Patent No. 1,655,114,
Nikola Tesla,
January 3, 1928,
Apparatus for Aerial Transportation.

It is regretted that the error mentioned has occurred
and a certificate of correction will be issued if the patent
is returned to this Office.

THOMAS E. ROBERTSON,
Commissioner.

By

W. A. Hostetter
Solicitor.

Mr. John P. Tarbox,
c/o Edward G. Budd Mfg. Co.,
Hunting Park Ave. and 25th St.,
Philadelphia, Pa.

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
UNITED STATES PATENT OFFICE
WASHINGTON

March 20, 1928.

Mr. John P. Tarbox,
Care Edward G. Budd Mfg. Co.,
Hunting Park Avenue and 25th St.,
Philadelphia, Pa.

My dear Mr. Tarbox:

Herewith find Letters Patent No. 1,655,114,
with the Certificate of Correction endorsed thereon,
in accordance with your request.

Sincerely yours,

J. A. Brearley,
Chief Clerk.

Inclosure.

28

UNITED STATES PATENT OFFICE.

NIKOLA TESLA, OF NEW YORK, N. Y.

APPARATUS FOR AERIAL TRANSPORTATION.

Application filed October 4, 1927. Serial No. 223,915.

This application is a continuation in part of my application Serial No. 499,518, filed September 9, 1921, and is made pursuant to the rules of the Patent Office, its purpose being to describe and claim apparatus which I have invented for carrying into practice the method therein disclosed.

The invention consists of a new type of flying machine, designated "helicopter-plane", which may be raised and lowered vertically and driven horizontally by the same propelling devices and comprises: a prime mover of improved design and an airscrew, both especially adapted for the purpose, means for tilting the machine in the air, arrangements for controlling its operation in any position, a novel landing gear and other constructive details, all of which will be hereinafter fully described.

The utility of the aeroplane as a means of transport is materially lessened and its commercial introduction greatly hampered owing to the inherent inability of the mechanism to readily rise and alight, which is an unavoidable consequence of the fact that the required lifting force can only be produced by a more or less rapid translatory movement of the planes or foils. This indispensable high velocity, imperilling life and property, makes it necessary to equip the machine with special appliances and provide suitable facilities at the terminals of the route, all of which entail numerous drawbacks and difficulties of a serious nature.

More recently, professional attention has been turned to the helicopter which is devoid of planes as distinct organs of support and, presumably, enables both vertical and horizontal propulsion to be satisfactorily accomplished through the instrumentality of the propeller alone.

The prospects of such a flying machine appear at first attractive, primarily because it makes possible the carrying of great weight with a relatively small expenditure of energy. This follows directly from the fundamental laws of fluid propulsion, laid down by W. T. M. Rankine more than fifty years ago, in conformity with which the thrust is equal to the integral sum of the products of the masses and velocities of the

projected air particles; symbolically expressed,

$$T = \Sigma(mv).$$

On the other hand, the kinetic energy of the air set in motion is

$$E = \Sigma\left(\frac{lmv^2}{2}\right).$$

From these equations it is evident that a great thrust can be obtained with a comparatively small amount of power simply by increasing the aggregate mass of the particles and reducing their velocities. But the seemingly great gain thus secured is of small value in aviation for the reason that a high speed of travel is generally an essential requirement which cannot be fulfilled except by propelling the air at high velocity, and that obviously implies a relatively small thrust.

Another quality commonly attributed to the helicopter is great stability, this being apparently a logical inference judging from the location of the centers of gravity and pressure. It will be found, though, that contrary to this prevailing opinion the device, while moving in any direction other than up or down, has an equilibrium easily disturbed and has, moreover, a pronounced tendency to oscillate.

In explanation of these and other peculiarities, assume the helicopter poised in still air at a certain height, the axial thrust T just equalling the weight, and let the axis of the propeller be inclined to form an angle α with the horizontal. The change to the new position will have a two-fold effect: the vertical thrust will be diminished to

$$T_v = T \sin \alpha$$

and at the same time there will be produced a horizontal thrust

$$T_h = T \cos \alpha$$

Under the action of the unbalanced force of gravity, the machine will now fall along a curve to a level below and if the inclination of the propeller as well as its speed of rotation remain unaltered during the descent, the forces T , T_v and T_h will continuously increase in proportion to the density of the

Patented Jan. 3, 1928.

1,655,114

UNITED STATES PATENT OFFICE.

NIKOLA TESLA, OF NEW YORK, N. Y.

APPARATUS FOR AERIAL TRANSPORTATION.

Application filed October 4, 1927. Serial No. 223,915.

This application is a continuation in part of my application Serial No. 499,518, filed September 9, 1921, and is made pursuant to the rules of the Patent Office, its purpose being to describe and claim apparatus which I have invented for carrying into practice the method therein disclosed.

The invention consists of a new type of flying machine, designated "helicopter-plane", which may be raised and lowered vertically and driven horizontally by the same propelling devices and comprises a

projected air particles; symbolically expressed,

$$T = \Sigma(mv).$$

On the other hand, the kinetic energy of the air set in motion is

$$E = \Sigma\left(\frac{mv^2}{2}\right).$$

From these equations it is evident that a great thrust can be obtained with a comparatively small amount of air.

Certificate of Correction.

Patent No. 1,655,114.

Granted January 3, 1928, to

NIKOLA TESLA.

It is hereby certified that error appears in the printed specification of the above-numbered patent requiring correction as follows: Page 1, after line 57, strike out the formula and insert instead

$$E = \Sigma\left(\frac{1}{2}mv^2\right);$$

and that the said Letters Patent should be read with this correction therein that the same may conform to the record of the case in the Patent Office.

Signed and sealed this 20th day of March, A. D. 1928.

[SEAL.]

M. J. MOORE,

Acting Commissioner of Patents.

backs and difficulties of a serious nature.

More recently, professional attention has been turned to the helicopter which is devoid of planes as distinct organs of support and, presumably, enables both vertical and horizontal propulsion to be satisfactorily accomplished through the instrumentality of the propeller alone.

The prospects of such a flying machine appear at first attractive, primarily because it makes possible the carrying of great weight with a relatively small expenditure of energy. This follows directly from the fundamental laws of fluid propulsion, laid down by W. T. M. Rankine more than fifty years ago, in conformity with which the thrust is equal to the integral sum of the products of the masses and velocities of the

air at a certain height, the axial thrust T just equalling the weight, and let the axis of the propeller be inclined to form an angle α with the horizontal. The change to the new position will have a two-fold effect: the vertical thrust will be diminished to

$$T_v = T \sin \alpha$$

and at the same time there will be produced a horizontal thrust

$$T_h = T \cos \alpha$$

Under the action of the unbalanced force of gravity, the machine will now fall along a curve to a level below and if the inclination of the propeller as well as its speed of rotation remain unaltered during the descent, the forces T , T_v and T_h will continuously increase in proportion to the density of the

air until the vertical component T_v of the axial thrust T becomes equal to the gravitational attraction. The extent of the drop will be governed by the inclination of the propeller axis and for a given angle it will be, theoretically, the same no matter at what altitude the events take place. To get an idea of its magnitude suppose the elevations of the upper and lower strata measured from sea level be h_1 and h_2 , respectively, d_1 and d_2 the corresponding air densities and $H=26,700$ feet the height of the "uniform atmosphere," then as a consequence of Boyle's Law the relation will exist

$$h_1 - h_2 = H \log_e \frac{d_2}{d_1}$$

It is obvious that

$$\frac{T}{T_v} = \frac{T}{T \sin \alpha} = \frac{1}{\sin \alpha} \text{ must be equal to } \frac{d_2}{d_1}$$

in order that the vertical component of the axial thrust in the lower stratum should just support the weight. Hence

$$H_1 - h_2 = H \log_e \frac{1}{\sin \alpha}$$

Taking, in a special case, the angle $\alpha=60$ degrees, then

$$\frac{1}{\sin \alpha} = \frac{1}{0.866} = 1.1547, \text{ and}$$

$$h_1 - h_2 = 26,700 \times \log_e 1.1547 = 3,840 \text{ feet.}$$

In reality the drop will be much greater for the machine, upon reaching the lower layer with a high velocity relative to the medium, will be urged further down along the curved path and the kinetic energy, in the vertical sense, possessed by the moving mass must be annihilated before the fall is arrested in a still denser air stratum. At this point the upward thrust will be far in excess of the opposed pull of the weight and the apparatus will rise with first increasing and then diminishing speed to a height which may approximate the original. From there it will again fall and so on, these operations being repeated during the forward flight, the up and down excursions from the main horizontal line gradually diminishing in magnitude. After a lapse of time, determined by numerous influences, these deviations should become insignificant and the path described nearly rectilinear. But this is next to impossible as can be readily shown by pointing out another curious feature of the helicopter.

In the foregoing the axis of the propeller was supposed to move always parallel to itself, which result might be accomplished by the use of an adjustable aileron. In this connection it may be pointed out, however, that such a device will not act in the manner of a rudder, coming into full play

at intervals only and performing its functions economically, but will steadily absorb energy, this occasioning a considerable waste of motive power and adding another to the many disadvantages of the helicopter.

Let now the machine be possessed of a certain degree of freedom, as will be the case normally, and observe in the first place that the blades of the propeller themselves constitute planes developing a reaction thrust, the pressure on the lower leading blade being greater than that exerted on the higher one owing to the compression of the air by the body of the machine and increased density in that region. This thrust tending to diminish the angle α , will vary during one revolution, being maximum in a position when the line of symmetry of the two propeller blades and that of flight are in the same vertical plane and minimum when the former is at right angles to it. Nevertheless, if the horizontal speed is great, it may be considerable and sufficient to quickly overcome the inertia and gyroscopic resistances all the more readily as the upper blade operates to the same effect. Moreover, this intermittent action partakes of the regenerative quality, the force increasing as the angle diminishes up to a maximum for $\alpha=45$ degrees, and may also give rise to disturbing resonant vibrations in the structure. As its axis is tilted more and more, the vertical sustaining effort of the propeller correspondingly diminishes and the machine will fall with a rapidly increasing velocity, which may finally exceed the horizontal, when the reaction of the blades is directed upward so as to increase the angle α and thereby cause the machine to soar higher. Thus periodic oscillations, accompanied by ascents and descents, will be set up which may well be magnified to an extent such as to bring about a complete overturn and plunge to earth.

It is held by some experts that the helicopter, because of its smaller body resistance, would be capable of a higher speed than the aeroplane. This is an erroneous conclusion, contrary to the laws of propulsion. It must be borne in mind that in the former type, the motive power being the same, a greater mass of air must be set in motion with a velocity smaller than in the latter, consequently it must be inferior in speed. But even if the air were propelled in the direction of the axis of the screw with the same speed V in both of them, while the aeroplane approximates the same, the helicopter can never exceed the horizontal component $V \cos \alpha$ which, under the theoretically most economical conditions of operation, would only be $0.7V$, and this would be true no matter how much its resistance is reduced.

Another very serious defect of this kind of flying machine, from the practical point

of view, is found in its inability of supporting itself in the air in case of failure of the motor, the projected area of the propeller blades being inadequate for reducing the speed of the fall sufficiently to avoid disaster, and this is an almost fatal impediment to its commercial use.

From the preceding facts, which are ignored in the technical publications on the subject, it will be clear that the successful solution of the problem is in a different direction.

In an application of even date, referred to above, I have disclosed an invention which meets the present necessity in a simple manner and, briefly stated, consists in a novel method of transporting bodies through the air according to which the machine is raised and lowered solely by the propeller and sustained in lateral flight by planes.

My present application is based on new and useful features and combinations of apparatus which I have devised for carrying this method into practice.

Full knowledge of these improvements will be readily gained by reference to the accompanying drawings in which

Fig. 1 illustrates the machine in the starting or landing position and

Fig. 2 in horizontal flight;

Fig. 3 is a plan view of the same with the upper plane partly broken away and

Fig. 4 and Fig. 5 sectional views of constructive details.

The structure is composed of two planes or foils 1, 1 rigidly joined. Their length and distance apart may be such as to form a near-square for the sake of smallness and compactness. With the same object the tail is omitted or, if used, it is retractable. In

order to raise the machine vertically a very light and powerful prime mover is necessary and as particularly suited for the purpose, I employ, preferably, a turbine described

in my U. S. Patent 1,061,206 of May 6, 1913, which not only fulfills these requirements but lends itself especially to operation at very high temperatures. Two such turbines, designated 2, 2 together with other parts and accessories of the power plant, are bolted to the frame, being placed with due regard to the centers of gravity and pressure. The usual controlling means are provided

and, in addition to these, any of the known stabilizing devices may be embodied in the machine. At rest the planes are vertical, or nearly so, and likewise the shaft driving the propeller 3, which is of a strength, size and pitch such as will enable it to lift the entire

weight vertically and withstand safely the stresses. Power is transmitted to the shaft from the turbines through gearing which may be of the single reduction type as illustrated, the turbines rotating in the same

direction and neutralizing the gyroscopic

moment of the screw. If, instead of one, two propellers are used, either coaxially or otherwise disposed, the motors should revolve in opposite directions. The seats 4, 4, 4 for the operator and passengers are suspended on trunnions 5, 5 on which they can turn through an angle of about 90 degrees, springs and cushions (not shown) being employed to insure and limit their motion through this angle. The ordinary devices for lateral and directional control 6, 6, 7, 7 and 8, 8 are provided with mechanical connections enabling the aviator to actuate them by hand or foot from his seat in any position.

Stated in a few words, the operation is as follows: At the start, sufficient power is turned on by suitable means, also within reach, and the machine rises vertically in the air to the desired height when it is gradually tilted through manipulation of the elevator devices and then proceeds more and more like an aeroplane, the sustaining force of the propeller being replaced by vertical reaction of the foils as the angle of inclination diminishes and horizontal velocity increases. In descending, the forward speed is reduced and the machine righted again, acting as a helicopter with the propeller supporting all the load. The turbine used is of great lightness and activity exceptionally qualified to perform such work for which the present aviation motors are unsuited. It is capable of carrying an extraordinarily great overload and running at excessive speed, and during the starting, landing and other relatively short operations, not only can the necessary power be easily developed, but this can be accomplished without incurring a serious loss of efficiency. Owing to its extreme simplicity the motive apparatus is very reliable, but should the power give out accidentally, landing can still be effected by volplaning. For this purpose, in addition to wheels 9, 9 and 10, 10, wheels 11, 11 are employed, the latter being mounted on the forward end under the lower plane and so that when the machine rests on level ground, the propeller shaft will have the desired inclination which is deemed best for rising in the manner of an aeroplane. Such a "helicopter-plane," constructed and operated as described, unites the advantages of both types and seems to meet well the requirements of a small, compact, very speedy and safe craft for commercial use.

The abnormal power requirements are met by supplying more of the working fluid to the motors and driving them faster, or running them at about the same speed and increasing the thrust by adjustment of the pitch of the propeller. On account of simplicity and much greater range it is preferable to resort to the first method, in which

case the screw should be designed to work most economically in horizontal flight, as its efficiency in the starting and landing operations is of comparatively small importance. Instead of a single large propeller, as described, a number of small ones can be used, when the turbine units may be connected advantageously in stages and the gearing dispensed with. The biplane seems to be particularly well suited for the chief purpose contemplated, but the invention is equally well applicable to monoplanes and other types.

In order to secure the best results I have found it indispensable to depart, in some respects, from the usual design of my turbines and embody in them certain constructive features and means for varying the power developed from the minimum necessary in horizontal flight to an amount exceeding by far their rated performance, as may be required in the operations of ascent and descent, or spurts of speed, or in combatting the fury of the elements. Furthermore, I so proportion and coordinate the fluid pressure generator supplying the primary energy, the propelling and the controlling means, that for any attitude or working condition of the machine the requisite thrust may be almost instantly produced and accurately adjusted.

The understanding of these improvements will be facilitated by reference to Fig. 4 and Fig. 5. In the first named the turbines are intended to operate as rotary engines, expanding the gases in the rotor as well as the inlet nozzle or port 12, the depth of which can be varied by shifting a block 13, fitting freely in a milled channel of the casing, through the medium of lever 14 controlled by the aviator. The orifice for the passage of the elastic fluid is straight or slightly converging, so that a much smaller velocity is obtained than with an expanding nozzle, this enabling the best relation between the peripheral speed of the rotor and that of the fluid to be readily attained. The performance of such an engine at constant pressure of supply is, within wide limits, proportionate to the quantity of the working medium passed through the inlet port and it is practicable to carry, for indefinite intervals of time, an exceedingly great overload, by which I mean up to three or even four times the normal. Exceptional strength and ruggedness of the motors being imperative in view of centrifugal stresses and critical speed, their weight need not be appreciably increased as would be the case in other forms of prime movers in which, as a rule, the weight is in nearly direct proportion to the power developed. To accomplish my purpose I further provide commensurately larger inlet and outlet openings. No serious disadvantage is thereby

incurred because windage and other losses are virtually absent and most of the rotary effort is due to the peripheral parts of the discs. As shown in the figure, block 13 is in the position corresponding to minimum effort, the section of the inlet channel being about one-fifth of the whole which is obtained when the block is pulled in its extreme position indicated by the dotted line. Owing to the increase of the coefficient of contraction and counterpressure attendant the enlargement of the inlet, the same should be made of ample section.

Figure 5 shows a different means for attaining the same purpose. In this case the motors operate like true turbines, the working fluid being fully expanded, or nearly so, through divergent exchangeable nozzles as 15, having a throat of sufficient section for the passage of fluid required during maximum performance. The exhaust opening is also correspondingly enlarged, though not necessarily to the extent indicated in Figure 4. The power is varied by means of a throttle valve 16, as used in automobiles, located in the conduit supplying the air and carbureted fuel to the fluid pressure generator and mechanically connected to the controlling lever 14. This apparatus is of a capacity adequate to the maximum demand by which I do not mean that it is necessarily much larger than required for normal performances, but is merely designed to supply the working fluid or, broadly stated, energy—whenever desired, at a rate greatly exceeding the normal. In Figure 3 this apparatus is diagrammatically indicated by 17, and may be any one of a number of well-known types, producing pressure by internal combustion of a suitable fuel or by external firing of a steam boiler. In the latter case, with constant pressure, the arrangement shown in Figure 4 is best to employ, while the plan illustrated in Figure 5 can be used to advantage when both pressure and quantity of fluid are varied.

In operation for vertical ascent, the machine being in the attitude of Figure 1, the aviator will push forward lever 14 and supply sufficient primary energy to the motors for lifting the machine with the desired velocity. When the objective elevation is reached rudders 7, 7 are manipulated to incline the machine at a certain angle, the aviator simultaneously applying more pressure to the lever and augmenting the fluid supply to the motors, thereby increasing the propeller thrust in the vertical direction so as to prevent the machine from descending. He continues these operations always coordinating the thrust developed with the changes in attitude of the machine until a certain angle of inclination is attained and the machine is supported chiefly by reaction of the planes. At this stage he begins to re-

duce the pressure on the lever and supply of working fluid simultaneously decreasing the angle of inclination thus finally effecting, by insensible steps, horizontal flight.

5 It should be understood that descent and alighting, as well as rising in the manner of a true aeroplane may be accomplished as usual. In such case the motors will be operated at their normal rated capacity. However, when excessive speed becomes necessary, the effort of the motors may be instantly and greatly augmented by merely manipulating block 13 or valve 16 as described.

15 Whenever it is desired to descend vertically, the aviator will reverse the operations as applying to substantial vertical ascent, which is to say, bring the machine gradually into starting attitude, at the same time increasing the supply of fluid to the motors and the vertical component of the propeller thrust, while reducing the horizontal. Finally, he will steadily reduce the fluid supply and the vertical thrust so as to descend to the landing place at a very low, safe velocity.

In the preceding I have described a flying machine characterized by a number of novel constructive and operative features and well suited for meeting a pressing necessity in the present state of the art. The chief improvements consist in first, adapting my turbine motor for excessive overload without appreciable increase of its weight, second, providing large variable inlet ports and corresponding exhaust openings, with the object of meeting the abnormal power requirements in the starting, landing and other short operations, and still preserving a high efficiency in horizontal flight; third, combining with the turbine a fluid pressure generator of adequate capacity with means for control and, fourth, embodying these and other features in a suitable structure improved in various details. These may be greatly varied and I wish it to be understood that I do not limit myself to the precise arrangements illustrated and described.

I claim as my invention:

50 1. In an aeroplane adapted for vertical and horizontal propulsion and change from one to the other attitude, the combination of means for tilting the machine in the air, a fluid pressure generator of a capacity several times greater than normally required in horizontal flight, a motor capable of carrying overloads adequate for support in all

attitudes, and means for controlling the supply of the fluid to the motor in accordance with the inclination of the machine.

2. In an aeroplane adapted for vertical and horizontal propulsion and change from one to the other attitude, the combination with means for tilting the machine in the air and a system producing thrust approximately parallel to the principal axis of the same and including a fluid pressure generator having a capacity several times greater than normally required in horizontal flight, a motor capable of carrying overloads adequate for support in all attitudes, and means for controlling the supply of the fluid to the motor in accordance with the inclination of the machine.

3. In an aeroplane adapted for vertical and horizontal propulsion and change from one to the other attitude, the combination of means for tilting the machine in the air, a fluid pressure generator capable of supplying fluid at a rate several times greater than required for horizontal flight, a prime mover consisting of a rotor of plane spaced discs with central openings and an enclosing casing with inlet and outlet orifices of a section much greater than required for normal performances respectively at the periphery and center of the same, and means for controlling the supply of the fluid to the motor in accordance with the inclination of the machine.

4. In an aeroplane adapted for vertical and horizontal propulsion and change from one to the other attitude, the combination of means for tilting the machine in the air, a thrust producing system having its principal energy producing elements designed for normal load in horizontal flight but capable of carrying overloads adequate for support of the aeroplane in all attitudes, and means for controlling the energy produced in said system in accordance with the inclination of the machine.

5. In a flying machine of the kind described in combination with means for vertical and lateral control of two wheel bases at right angles to one another as set forth.

6. In a flying machine of the kind described in combination with means for vertical and lateral control of two wheel bases at right angles to one another and having one or more wheels common to both.

In testimony whereof I hereunto affix my signature.

NIKOLA TESLA.

Jan. 3, 1928.

N. TESLA

1,655,114

APPARATUS FOR AERIAL TRANSPORTATION

Filed Oct. 4, 1927

2 Sheets-Sheet 2




FIG. 3.

FIG. 4.

FIG. 5.

INVENTOR.

NIKOLA TESLA.

BY

John P. Tarbo

ATTORNEY.

Jan. 3, 1928.

N. TESLA

1,655,114

APPARATUS FOR AERIAL TRANSPORTATION

Filed Oct. 4, 1927

2 Sheets-Sheet 1




FIG. 1.




FIG. 2.

INVENTOR.

NIKOLA TESLA.

BY *John P. Lark*

ATTORNEY.

\$ 20 received as

the final fee in the application of

Nikola Tesla

Serial No. 223915

for

applied from a composite letter No 230598

received John P. Taitot

from

which is on file in the Chief Clerk's room.

John Harris

Chief of Issue and Gazette Division.

B

Serial No. 223915

Div. 22

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

UNITED STATES PATENT OFFICE

WASHINGTON November 12, 1927

Nikola Tesla

Your APPLICATION for a patent for an IMPROVEMENT in
Apparatus for Aerial Transportation

filed Oct. 4, 1927 has been examined and ALLOWED with 6 claims.

The final fee, TWENTY DOLLARS, WITH \$1 ADDITIONAL FOR EACH CLAIM ALLOWED IN EXCESS OF 20, must be paid not later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this present notice of allowance. If the final fee be not paid within that period, the patent will be withheld, but the application may be renewed within one year after the date of the original notice with a renewal fee of \$20 and \$1 additional for each claim in excess of 20.

The office delivers patents upon the day of their date, on which date their term begins to run. The preparation of the patent for final signing and sealing will require about four weeks, and such work will not be begun until after payment of the necessary final fee.

When the final fee is paid, there should also be sent, DISTINCTLY AND PLAINLY WRITTEN, the name of the INVENTOR, TITLE OF THE INVENTION, AND SERIAL NUMBER AS ABOVE GIVEN, DATE OF ALLOWANCE (which is the date of this circular), DATE OF FILING, and, if assigned, the NAMES OF THE ASSIGNEES.

If it is desired to have the patent issue to an ASSIGNEE OR ASSIGNEES, an assignment containing a REQUEST to that effect, together with the FEE for recording the same, must be filed in this office on or before the date of payment of the final fee.

After issue of the patent, uncertified copies of the drawings and specifications may be purchased at the price of TEN CENTS EACH. The money should accompany the order. Postage stamps will not be received.

The final fee will NOT be received from other than the applicant, his assignee or attorney, or a party in interest as shown by the records of the Patent Office.

NOTICE.—WHEN THE NUMBER OF CLAIMS ALLOWED IS IN EXCESS OF 20, NO SUM LESS THAN \$20 PLUS \$1 ADDITIONAL FOR EACH CLAIM IN EXCESS OF TWENTY CAN BE ACCEPTED AS THE FINAL FEE.

Respectfully,

Thomas E. Robertson

Commissioner of Patents.

John P. Tarbox,
c/o Edward G. Budd Mfg. Co.,
Hunting Park Ave. and 25th St.,
Philadelphia, Pa.

IN REMITTING THE FINAL FEE GIVE THE SERIAL NUMBER AT THE HEAD OF THIS NOTICE.

UNCERTIFIED CHECKS WILL NOT BE ACCEPTED.